REGEN PROJECTS

Rugoff, Ralph. "It's a Bash." LA Weekly (December 1992)

AWEEKLY

It's a Bash

Sue Williams uses bad-boy art for women's revenge



Shambolism grand style

BY RALPH RUGOFF

HEN STORIES ABOUT SEXUAL HARASS ment and violence surface into public discussion, we cautiously package and abstract them to spare ourselves the full horror. Sue Williams aims to give that hor-ror its due. In the last few years, this New York-based artist has created disturbing and brutally funny work that contronts psychological and turny work that controls psychological and physical violence against women. Williams' car-toony art — paintings with text, mixed-media collages and sculpture — is crude and assultive enough to risk alienating much of her potential audience. Williams doesn't pull punches: In her bleak moral landscape, there are no role models in sight and the only anodyne is biting black humor.

Her current show at the Stuart Regen Gal-lery offers a hardcore inventory of damage. Amid Irec-floating images of animalized women, pornographic clowns, vomiting bu-limics, forced blowjobs, ballooning rear ends and caricatured sex organs, her sculptures and large compares present a reacting positiof do and carcalled sex organs, her scuping motif of do-mestic violence — specifically, men pummeling woman's face bears the caption "The Union of Man and Woman." Painted over a back wallcowered with floral willpaper (a material tradition-ally used to conceal ugliness), a scrawled sum-pler reads: "ADainty Home for Two — Please Don't Bear Fuck Out of Me."

In portraying the violence hidden under ide-alized images, Williams avoids the smug authoriny of the right cours; instead, she speaks from the more ambiguous position of someone drawing on firsthand observation — a position that al-lows her to mix anger with wit. Shambolic paintings loosely cluttered with images and texts sati-rize everything from the hypocrisies of the male-dominated art world to the foibles of co-dependency. "The Art World Can Suck My Proverbial Dick," one banner of textdeclares with defiance

and humor, while a nearby image bubble shows an open male mouth gagging in the face of a tiny penis tied and suspended by a ribbon. Much of Williams' imagery is schematic and appears hastily drawn, if notdeliberately climisy. On one level, this aesthetic of crudity serves to foreground Williams' conceptual and docu-

mentary content, but it plays another important function as well. Though her scrambled compositions recall Mike Kelley's drawings from the mid-30s, her strategy more closely resembles that ofwriter Kathy Acker. Just as Acker declines to write about violence in a classical language that would disguise its ugliness. Williams em-ploys a tangled and truncated visual grammar to register not only the fact of violence against women, but also its effects. Images of female degradation that are "neutralized" as "art" iu work by her male contemporaries - painters like David Salle and Eric Fischl - are here re-

connected to an experience of pain. By carving out a space for herself making work about men demeaning women, Williams reverses a standard aut-world practice. Her appropriation of the raunchy, underground-com-ics influenced style of "bad boy" art is likewise Institution of the state of the a work on paper elsewhere in the gallery features a work on paper elsewhere in the gallery features a drawing of a horse — traditionally an apt sub-ject for women artists (and, notably, a trademark of Susan Rothenberg). But this well-endowed horse is squirting urine on a bale of hay while a small tornado blows out its ass, and a caption

smart infrated books out is as, and a capton sinds: "Drawn wrong? Well, I am so sorry?" Unapologetically "dysfunctional," Williams spurns the easy coherence of much theory-heavy "critical" art, and instead invites viewersto identify with the artist as someone who's no more in control of her cultural position than they are. Yet as intimate as it first appears (her fractured, doodlelike compositions can seem like pages ripped out of a diary), this work is ultimately built around cliches. Williams' illus-trated men and women have a generic, '50c cast and are portrayed in conventionalized roles of oppressor and victim. Rather than characters in

oppressor and victim. Rather than characters in a melodrama, they function as figures in a dia-gram, vectors charting ways in which violence againstwomen iscentrenched in our culturallife. Ramhling over a varied social field that ranges from Santa Claus to the world of hunting. Williams' clichés repeatedly return us to a single proposition: power wielded by males in this so-ciety is largely based on humiliating and debas-ing women. This is most baldly, and hauntingly,

realized in *Manly Footwan*, a series of six silicon casts of a woman's face that have been progres-sively battered until the last is unrecognizable.

HEN ALMOST EVERY BOOKSTORE IS HEN ALMOST EVERY BOOKSTORE IS filled with self-help titles like Women Who Love Too Muchaud manifestocs on "co-dependency." the question of cul-pability is a loaded one. Refusing to monumen-talize women as victims, Williams entertains the prickly question: To what extent is the experience of every victim also that of a collaborator? In The New Santa, she shows us an "old unem-In The New Santa, she shows us an "old unem-ployed ell" jerking off on the backside of a woman whose breasts. nipples erect, are spun around toward him as if pulled by a magnetic force. "My breasts are humiliating me," the text reads, and goes on to wonder why she isn't at-tracted to more "appropriate" males. An exam-ple floats farther down the page: a clean-cut "younger, less-co-dependent Santa." Williams' concern with linking the personal and the social leads her to take issue with no-tions like co-dependency ("Let's take a look at that 'co-de-pen-dent," concludes one painting) and to challenge accepted definitions of aesthet-

and to challenge accepted definitions of aesthet-ics as well. "This is (art), not social commentary," another work insists, even as it prompts us mistrust the idea that these are mutually exclusive terms.

At times, Williams appears mired in static At times, Williams appears mired in static definitions of her own; she seems to rigidly equate decoration with concealment and re-pression. for example ("If you want filler, look at the righthand corner of the canwas," quips one painting, steering the viewer to a decorative border). But in portraying everyday scenes, her work reflects complexities and ambiguities miss-ing from boorishly "correct" viewpoints. Wil-liams never condescends to her audiences she engages us first and foremost as social creatures. engages us first and foremost as social creatures. While the women she depicts usually appear as passive victims, the artist's own voice is au ag-



gressive one. Her art plunges us into a compul-sive excavation and reworking of materials re-pressed at both personal and societal levels, fore-ing viewers to connect — ina visceral way —with things they'd probably rather not confront so literally.

literally. Compared to the full-bore catharsis Karen Finley aims for, Williams' work moves us toward something less clearlydefined. Withoutever be-ing didactic, she asks us to look at uncomfort-able truths in a language that preserves their ugliness, calling on us as witnesses of injustice, but also as fellow travelers mired in the same nightmare - whether as persecuted women or as men who persecute women aswell as their own as men who persecute women aswellas then own feminine sides. As an artist, Williams isn't inter-ested in the exorcism of compiling an inventory and making public confession. She doesn't pro-fees false optimism, nor is her pessimism a pre-terse. If anything, her art seems to signal the need under the circumstances to achrowledge need, under the circumstances, to acknowledge a certain amount of despair as our constant com-panion — to accept it as the price of recuperating our capacity to remember, and to hold humoras something intimate with suffering, not redeemsometing in function with ends of the source on the contract of the source of the sour

FOR ADDITIONAL ART LISTINGS. SEE THE CALENDAR ANT SECTION ON PAGE 134.

SUE WILLIAMS At the STUART RECEM CALLERY 619 H. Alocont Drive, West Hallymood Through Decemitar IS